namic

21 examples 44-80% yield

Copper-Mediated Radical 1,2-Bis(trifluoromethylation) of Alkenes with Sodium Trifluoromethanesulfinate

Bin Yang,† Xiu-Hua Xu,† and Feng-Ling Qing*,†,‡

† Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai I[nst](#page-2-0)itute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Science, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, China

‡ College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Lu, Shanghai 201620, China

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-2-0) An efficient chemoselective 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylation) of alkenes with CF_3SO_2Na promoted by t-BuOOH/CuCl was developed. This protocol provided the first convenient preparation of 1,2-bis- (trifluoromethylated) compounds by the vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes. The chemoselectivity of this reaction was accomplished by increasing the concentration of the CF_3 radical.

The trifluoromethyl group has received increasing attention
in various research fields because of its ability to alter the
lineability metabolic activity, and biomyilability of boat lipophilicity, metabolic activity, and bioavailability of host compounds.¹ Consequently, numerous methods have been developed for the preparation of trifluoromethylated compounds.² H[o](#page-2-0)wever, much less attention has been paid in the synthesis of 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compounds, despite their p[ot](#page-2-0)ential usefulness in many research fields, especially in advanced materials.³ Fluorination of carboxylic acids with $SF₄$ is an orthodox route to 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compounds.⁴ However, $SF₄$ is a [ha](#page-2-0)zardous reagent and releases HF in a moist atmosphere. Normally, 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compound[s](#page-2-0) are prepared via indirect approaches, such as coupling of $trifluoromethylated$ units⁵ and transformation from bis-(trifluoromethylated) building blocks.⁶ Direct and efficient access to these compounds [s](#page-3-0)till remains to be a great challenge, despite Molander's recent breakthrou[gh](#page-3-0) for the synthesis of vicinally bis(trifluoromethylated) alkylboron compounds through tandem trifluoroethylidene-insertion reactions.⁷ The vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes provides a powerful strategy for the construction of compounds with various fun[ct](#page-3-0)ional groups.⁸ An outstanding example is the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of alkenes.⁹ Other types of difunctionalization of alkenes[,](#page-3-0) such as diamination,¹⁰ diboration,¹¹ dichlorination,¹² and difluorinat[io](#page-3-0)n reactions, 13 have also been intensively investigated. However, the [1,](#page-3-0)2-bis(triflu[oro](#page-3-0)methylation) [of](#page-3-0) alkenes has received much l[ess](#page-3-0) attention, and quite limited precedents were reported. As early as 1974, the electrochemical trifluoromethylation of alkenes with CF_3CO_2H was reported for the preparation of $1,2$ -bis(trifluoromethylated) compounds.^{14a} However, this method was severely restricted to electrondeficient alkenes (acrylamide), and the desired 1,2-[bis-](#page-3-0) (trifluoromethylated) compounds were formed in low yields along with several byproducts (Scheme 1a). 14 In continuation of our research interest in trifluoromethylation reactions,¹⁵ herein we report the copper-mediated 1,2-bis(trifl[uo](#page-3-0)romethylation) of

 p

 $R = alkyl$, aryl

CF₃SO₂Na t-BuOOH, CuCl

rt, 10 h

CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/H₂O

alkenes with sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate (Langlois reagent, CF_3SO_2Na) (Scheme 1b). This protocol provides the first convenient preparation of 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compounds via the vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes.

Recently, radical trifluoromethylation has experienced a renewal, and most of these works mainly focus on the improvement of old methods and the identification of new CF_3 radical sources.^{2f-k} We wondered if it was possible to

Received: February [27](#page-2-0), [2](#page-2-0)015 Published: March 25, 2015

Scheme 3. Competitive Reactions Starting from the Addition of CF₃ Radical to Alkene

Scheme 4. Effect of the Amount of CuCl on the Yields of Products

achieve 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylation) of alkenes on the basis of the new developments of radical trifluoromethylation. Although $CF₃I$ is the common source for the generation of the $CF₃$ radical,¹⁶ gaseous CF_3I is not easy to handle. Therefore, solid or liquid trifluoromethylating reagents at room temperature, includi[ng](#page-3-0) (trifluoromethyl)dibenzothiophenium salts (Umemoto reagents), $2a,17$ trifluoromethyl benziodoxole derivatives (Togni reagents), 2j,18 (trifluoromehyl)trimethylsilane (Ruppert–Prakash[rea](#page-3-0)gent),^{2k,19} and sodium trifluoromethane[s](#page-2-0)ulfinate $(Langlois$ [rea](#page-3-0)gent $)^{20}$ were used as the CF_3 radical precursors. As sho[wn](#page-2-0) in Scheme 2, the 1,2-bis- (trifluoromethylation) of [st](#page-3-0)yrene 1a using electrophilic Umemoto reagent²¹ or Togni reagent²² faile[d to](#page-0-0) give the desired product. When 1a reacted with nucleophilic Ruppert−Prakash reagent under sil[ver](#page-3-0)-catalyzed oxidat[ive](#page-3-0) conditions,^{15a} the 1,2bis(trifluoromethylated) product 2a was formed in 8% yield. To our delight, copper-catalyzed 1,2-bis(trifluoromethyl[atio](#page-3-0)n) of 1a using $CF_3SO_2Na^{15b}$ gave compound 2a in 16% yield.

Encouraged by these experimental results, the stable and inexpensive CF_3SO_2Na was chosen as a CF_3 radical source. To improve the yield of the desired 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) product, we had to determine all the possible reaction pathways of this process. As shown in Scheme 3, the addition of the CF_3 radical to alkene 1 led to the active radical intermediate A, which might be transformed into several products: 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compound 2, dimerized compound 3, ²³ or difunctionalized compound 4. 15a,b,21,24 Alternatively, intermediScheme 5. Scope of Copper-Mediated 1,2- Bis(trifluoromethylation) of Alkenes^{a}

^aReaction conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol), CF_3SO_2Na (4.0 mmol), CuCl (1.0 mmol), t-BuOOH (6.0 mmol), $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH/H_2O$ (2.5 mL/ 2.5 mL/2.0 mL), 10 h, N_2 , rt, isolated yields. \overline{b} A second portion of $CF₃SO₂Na$ (2.0 mmol), CuCl (0.5 mmol), and t-BuOOH (3.0 mmol) was added after 8 h, and then the reaction was stirred for another 10 h. $^{\circ}$ CF₃SO₂Na (6.0 mmol), *t*-BuOOH (9.0 mmol).

Scheme 6. Preparation of 1,4-Bis(trifluoromethylated) Products 9^a

^aReaction conditions: 8 (1.0 mmol), CF_3SO_2Na (4.0 mmol), CuCl (1.0 mmol), t-BuOOH (6.0 mmol), $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH/H_2O$ (2.5 mL/2.0 mL), 10 h, N₂, rt, isolated yields of the major isomers. 2.5 mL/2.0 mL), 10 h, N_2 , rt, isolated yields of the major isomers.
^bThe diastereomer ratios were determined by ¹⁹F NMR and GC-MS of the crude products.

ate A might be oxidized into cationic intermediate B, which could undergo substitution or elimination to give the difunctionalized product 5 ,²⁵ vinylic product 6 ,²⁶ or allylic product 7 ,²⁷ respectively. Therefore, the selective formation of 1,2-bis- (trifluorom[eth](#page-3-0)ylated) compound [2](#page-3-0) remained a significa[nt](#page-3-0) challenge.

Based on these competitive reactions, starting from the addition of the CF_3 radical to an alkene, we surmised that the high concentration of the CF_3 radical would be beneficial to the chemoselective formation of 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compound 2. When CF_3SO_2N a was used as the CF_3 radical precursor for radical trifluoromethylation reactions,^{15b,24e,28} the concentration of CF_3 radical was strongly affected by the initiator. $Copper(I)$ chloride $(CuCl)$ was commonl[y used as](#page-3-0) the initiator for radical trifluoromethylation reactions using CF_3SO_2N a in the presence of tert-butylhydroperoxide (t-BuOOH).^{15b,28b,c} Therefore, the concentration of the CF_3 radical would be improved when the amount of CuCl was increased.^{[29](#page-3-0)} [With](#page-3-0) these considerations in mind, we first optimized the reaction conditions by changing the amount of CuC[l.](#page-3-0) As expected, when the amount of CuCl was increased from 0.1 to 1.0 equiv, the yield of 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) product 2a was gradually improved, while the dimerized product 3a and other products (hydrotrifluoromethylated product, oxytrifluoromethylated product, chlorotrifluoromethylated product, etc.) became fewer and fewer (Scheme 4).

The reaction conditions were further screened including both metal salts and solvents (see T[ab](#page-1-0)le S-1 in the Supporting Information for details). Accordingly, none of the metal salts gave better results than CuCl. A control experiment showed that no desired product was formed without CuCl. Intensive solvent screening led to a mixed solvent $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH/H_2O = 5:5:4)$ with the best results. Under the optimal reaction conditions, the substrate scope of copper-mediated 1,2-bis- (trifluoromethylation) of alkenes was investigated (Scheme 5). Stryenes 1b−j bearing different electron-donating and -withdrawing groups, as well as halogen atoms, at different position[s o](#page-1-0)f the phenyl ring proceeded smoothly to afford the corresponding 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) products 2b−j in moderate to good yields (44−80%). For electron-rich styrenes 1l and 1m, the oxytrifluoromethylated products 5l and 5m, respectively, were produced instead of the desired 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) products.³⁰ In addition, functional groups, including benzyl chloride $(2n)$, benzyl cyanide $(2o)$, and hydroxyl group $(2p)$, were wel[l to](#page-3-0)lerated in this reaction. The unactivated alkenes 1q− u were also transformed into the 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) products 2q−u in moderate yields, although a larger amount of $CF₃SO₂Na$ and TBHP were needed.³¹ Remarkably, this facile method could serve as a new synthetic strategy to introduce two trifluoromethyl groups simultaneous[ly](#page-3-0) to complex molecules, such as vinyl derivatives of estrone and N-benzoyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester (1v and 1w). The corresponding 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) products 2v and 2w were produced in moderate yields. These results showed that this copper-mediated protocol might be applicable to "late-stage 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylation)" of complex molecules. However, the internal alkene was not a suitable substrate for 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylation).

Besides 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylated) compounds 2, 1,4-bis- (trifluoromethylated) products could also be prepared. Treatment of 1,5-dienes 8a−d with CF₃SO₂Na under the standard conditions gave 1,4-bis(trifluoromethylated) products 9a−d via a tandem trifluoromethylation/cyclization/trifluoromethylation

reaction sequence (Scheme 6).^{27a} These results indicated that radical processes were involved in these transformations.

In conclusion, we have dev[el](#page-1-0)o[ped](#page-3-0) an efficient copper-mediated radical 1,2-bis(trifluoromethylation) of alkenes using the stable and inexpensive CF_3SO_2Na as the CF_3 radical precursor. This reaction provided a practical and convenient route to 1,2 bis(trifluoromethylated) compounds. The chemoselectivities of the radical trifluoromethylation were strongly controlled by the amount of initiator. The mild reaction conditions and wide substrate scope make this protocol attractive in pharmaceutical and material fields.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Optimized reaction conditions, experimental procedures, characterization data, and copies of ^{1}H , ^{19}F , and ^{13}C NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: flq@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

National Natural Science Foundation of China (21421002, 21332010, 21272036) and National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB21600) are greatly acknowledged for funding this work. Dedicated to Prof. Iwao Ojima of State University of New York at Stony Brook on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (b) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320. (c) Hagmann, W. K. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359. (d) Meanwell, N. A. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 2529. (e) Cametti, M.; Crousse, B.; Metrangolo, P.; Milani, R.; Resnati, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 31. (f) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432.

(2) (a) Umemoto, T. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1757. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Yudin, A. K. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 757. (c) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 455. (d) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (e) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (f) Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950. (g) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214. (h) Egami, H.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8294. (i) Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598. (j) Charpentier, J.; Fruh, N.; Togni, A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650. (k) Liu, X.; Xu, C.; Wang, M.; Liu, Q. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 683.

(3) (a) Schmidt, B. M.; Seki, S.; Topolinski, B.; Ohkubo, K.; Fukuzumi, S.; Sakurai, H.; Lentz, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11385. (b) Kuvychko, I. V.; Castro, K. P.; Deng, S. H. M.; Wang, X.-B.; Strauss, S. H.; Boltalina, O. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4871. (c) Schmidt, B. M.; Topolinski, B.; Yamada, M.; Higashibayashi, S.; Shionoya, M.; Sakurai, H.; Lentz, D. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 1387.

(4) (a) Pustovit, Yu. M.; Ogojko, P. I.; Nazaretian, V. P.; Faryat'eva, L. B. J. Fluorine Chem. 1994, 69, 225. (b) Alexeenko, A. N.; Nazaretian, V. P. J. Fluorine Chem. 1994, 69, 241. (c) Gerus, I. I.; Mironetz, R. X.; Kondratov, I. S.; Bezdudny, A. V.; Dmytriv, Y. V.; Shishkin, O. V.; Starova, V. S.; Zaporozhets, O. A.; Tolmachev, A. A.; Mykhailiuk, P. K. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 47.

1908

(5) For recent examples, see: (a) Gladow, D.; Reissig, H.-U. Helv. Chim. Acta 2012, 95, 1818. (b) Gao, B.; Zhao, Y.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 102.

(6) For recent examples, see: (a) Aikawa, K.; Hioki, Y.; Shimizu, N.; Mikami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20092. (b) Margetic, D.; ́

Warrener, R. N.; Butler, D. N.; Jin, C.-M. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 3306. (7) Molander, G. A.; Ryu, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14181. (8) (a) Romero, R. M.; Wöste, T. H.; Muñiz, K. Chem.-Asian J. 2014,

9, 972. (b) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Gazzola, S.; Mazza, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 6767.

(9) Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483.

(10) For a review on the diamination and aminohydroxylation of alkenes, see: Muñiz, K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 166.

(11) Takaya, J.; Iwasawa, N. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 1993.

(12) Monaco, M. R.; Bella, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11044.

(13) For a perspective on the synthesis of vicinal fluoromethylene motifs from alkenes in several steps, see: O'Hagan, D. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3689.

(14) (a) Brookes, C. J.; Coe, P. L.; Owen, D. M.; Pedler, A. E.; Tatlow, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 323. (b) Renaud, R. N.; Champagne, P. J.; Savard, M. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 2617. (c) Uneyama, K.; Morimoto, O.; Nanbu, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 109. (d) Arai, K.; Watts, K.; Wirth, T. ChemistryOpen 2014, 3, 23.

(15) (a) Wu, X.; Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2198. (b) Jiang, X.-Y.; Qing, F.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14177. (c) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1513. (d) Lin, Q.-Y.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F.-L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 10434.

(16) (a) Nagib, D. A.; Scott, M. E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10875. (b) Nguyen, J. D.; Tucker, J. W.; Konieczynska, M. D.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4160. (c) Ye, Y.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9034. (d) Iqbal, N.; Jung, J.; Park, S.; Cho, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 539.

(17) Umemoto, T.; Ishihara, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2156.

 (18) (a) Eisenberger, P.; Gischin, S.; Togni, A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006,

12, 2579. (b) Kieltsch, I.; Eisenberger, P.; Togni, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 754.

(19) (a) Ruppert, I.; Schlich, K.; Volbach, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2195. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Krishnamurti, R.; Olah, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 393.

(20) (a) Langlois, B. R.; Laurent, E.; Roidot, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, , 7525. (b) Langlois, B. R.; Laurent, E.; Roidot, N. Tetrahedron Lett. , 33, 1291. For a recent review, see: (c) Zhang, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. , 356, 2895.

(21) Mizuta, S.; Verhoog, S.; Engle, K. M.; Khotavivattana, T.; O'Duill, M.; Wheelhouse, K.; Rassias, G.; Médebielle, M.; Gouverneur, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2505.

(22) Gao, P.; Shen, Y.-W.; Fang, R.; Hao, X.-H.; Qiu, Z.-H.; Yang, F.; Yan, X.-B.; Wang, Q.; Gong, X.-J.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7629.

(23) (a) Uneyama, K.; Nanbu, H. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4598. (b) Dmowski, W.; Biernacki, A.; Kozlowski, T.; Gluziński, P.; Urbańczyk-Lipkowska, Z. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 4437. (c) Rudler, H.; Parlier, A.; Denneval, C.; Herson, P. J. Fluorine Chem. 2010, 131, 738.

(24) (a) Xu, P.; Xie, J.; Xue, Q.; Pan, C.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14039. (b) Carboni, A.; Dagousset, G.; Magnier, E.; Masson, G. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14197. (c) Li, L.; Deng, M.; Zheng, S.-C.; Xiong, Y.-P.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 504. (d) Han, G.; Wang, Q.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5914. (e) Deb, A.; Manna, S.; Modak, A.; Patra, T.; Maity, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9747. (f) Lu, Q.; Liu, C.; Huang, Z.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, J.; Lei, A. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14101.

(25) (a) Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9567. (b) Tomita, R.; Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7144. (c) Yasu, Y.; Arai, Y.; Tomita, T.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 780. (d) Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2136. (e) Matcha, K.; Antonchick, A. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11960. (f) Oh, S. H.; Malpani, Y. R.; Ha, N.; Jung, Y.-S.; Han, S. B. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1310.

(26) (a) Wang, X.-P.; Lin, J.-H.; Zhang, C.-P.; Xiao, J.-C.; Zheng, X. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 2635. (b) Yu, Y.-Y.; Ranade, A. R.; Georg, G. I. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 3510. (c) Tomita, R.; Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 1099. (d) Prieto, A.; Jeamet, E.; Monteiro, N.; Bouyssi, D.; Baudoin, O. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4770.

(27) (a) Parsons, A. T.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9120. (b) Wang, X.; Ye, Y.; Zhang, S.; Feng, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16410. (c) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2106. (d) Mizuta, S.; Engle, K. M.; Verhoog, S.; Galicia-López, O.; O'Duill, M.; Médebielle, M.; Wheelhouse, K.; Rassias, G.; Thompson, A. L.; Gouverneur, V. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1250.

(28) (a) Ji, Y.; Brueckl, T.; Baxter, R. D.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seiple, I. B.; Su, S.; Blackmond, D. G.; Baran, P. S. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011, 108, 14411. (b) Ye, Y.; Kü nzi, S. A.; Sanford, M. S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4979. (c) Li, Y.; Wu, L.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2628. (d) Yang, Y.-D.; Iwamoto, K.; Tokunaga, E.; Shibata, N. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5510.

(29) The role of CuCl may also act as a stabilizer for the CF_3 radical. For related work, see: (a) Danoun, G.; Bayarmagnai, B.; Grünberg, M. F.; Gooßen, L. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7972. (b) Dai, J.-J.; Fang, C.; Xiao, B.; Yi, J.; Xu, J.; Liu, Z.-J.; Lu, X.; Liu, L.; Fu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8436.

(30) Ilchenko, N. O.; Janson, P. G.; Szabó, K. J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11087.

(31) For these unactivated alkenes, the low yields may be caused by the low stability of the corresponding alkyl radial intermediates. Although trace amounts of other byproducts were detected from 19F NMR of the crude products, no other compounds that had the same mass as the desired product was found by mass.